Correspondence

Intentional self-poisoning with a bioherbicide

With an increasing emphasis on organic farming and a greener environment, markets today have a number of organic or bio-pesticides and herbicides, some with questionable safety profiles. We report a patient with methaemoglobinaemia due to intentional consumption of a locally manufactured bioherbicide called 'Shooter'.

A 26-year-old man presented to the Emergency Department of our institute with an alleged history of intentional consumption of an unknown quantity of a herbicide named 'Shooter'. Twenty minutes after consumption of the poison, the patient induced an episode of vomiting, which was followed by 5 episodes of spontaneous vomiting. The vomitus was white in color and contained gastric contents. There was no blood or bile in the vomitus. He developed altered sensorium in the next hour, and was then taken to a local district hospital, where he received a therapeutic gastric lavage, and injectable atropine and pralidoxime, before being referred to our centre.

At presentation to our institute, he had no abdominal pain, fever, frothing at the mouth, lacrimation, salivation, loose stools, sweating, shortness of breath or rhinorrhea. His Glasgow coma scale was E4V1M5, he had a pulse rate of 110/minute, blood pressure of 106/50 mmHg, respiratory rate of 16/minute, and oxygen saturation of 82% on room air. Profound central cyanosis was noted. Arterial blood gas showed partial pressure of oxygen to be 432 mmHg with a lactate of 5.61 mmol/L. His methaemoglobin level was 61%, and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase levels were >18 units/g of haemoglobin. Complete blood count, liver function tests, renal function tests and a coagulation profile were within reference range. He received methylene blue 50 mg i.v. over 30 minutes, non-invasive ventilation with 100% O₂, and an exchange transfusion with 2 units of packed red blood cells, 4 units of random donor platelets, and 4 units of fresh frozen plasma.

He was intubated in view of an unstable airway and non-compliance to non-invasive ventilation. With these interventions, the patient's methaemoglobin levels reduced to <10%, but the clinical condition continued to deteriorate, possibly due to hypoxic ischaemic encephalopathy. The patient developed ventilator associated pneumonia on day 5 of his hospital stay. On day 10, the patient died due to sepsis.

Methaemoglobin is an oxidised form of haemoglobin in which the ferrous (+2) haeme iron in red blood cells is oxidised to a ferric (+3) state. Methaemoglobin cannot bind oxygen, and thus fails to deliver oxygen to tissues.¹

Locally manufactured bioherbicides and possibly other bioagricultural products have questionable safety profiles and lead to severe and time-sensitive complications such as methaemoglobinaemia.^{2,3} Agents generally implicated in the development of acute toxic methaemoglobinaemia are aniline dyes, nitrates, nitrosohydrocarbons and a number of drugs—dapsone, topical local anaesthetics (benzocaine), nitrates and sulphonamides.⁴

The diagnosis of methaemoglobinaemia was missed on initial evaluation and he received treatment for presumed organophosphate poisoning before presentation to us. This may have led to toxicities associated with treatment agents.⁵ Thus in patients in whom organophosphates as the causative agent for poisoning cannot be ascertained, alternative diagnoses must be considered and investigations done accordingly.

'Natural' and 'bio-derived' agents are now more prevalent than ever in industry, and mechanisms through which these interact with human physiology needs to be studied as their use becomes more common. Appropriate compositions and initial management must be made available as standardized hazard labels on containers for these products. As in our patient, treatment of unknown toxins can be tricky and may lead to incorrect management. This delay, coupled with the severity of methaemoglobinaemia led to precipitation of irreversible hypoxic damage, and despite aggressive management efforts, eventually resulted in the death of the patient.

There should be a high index of suspicion for methaemoglobinaemia in patients with a history of consumption of unknown agents followed by unexplained cyanosis, normal-appearing pulse oximetry and respiratory distress.

REFERENCES

- 1 Mansouri A, Lurie AA. Concise review: Methemoglobinemia. Am J Hematol 1993;42:7–12.
- 2 George T, Shaikh AI, Thomas L, Kundavaram AP. Severe methemoglobinemia due to insecticide poisoning. *Indian J Crit Care Med* 2014;18:113–14.
- 3 Gupta P, Verma PK. A rare cause of methemoglobinemia: How safe are so-called biopesticide? *Indian J Crit Care Med* 2020;24:208–9.
- 4 Skold A, Cosco DL, Klein R. Methemoglobinemia: Pathogenesis, diagnosis, and management. South Med J 2011;104:757–61.
- 5 Kakhandki S, Yahya M, Praveen M. Acute methaemoglobinaemia initially treated as organophosphate poisoning leading to atropine toxicity. *Indian J Anaesth* 2012;56:397-400.

Prashant Badole Jivtesh Singh Rohini Rokkam Department of General Medicine All India Institute of Medical Sciences Tatibandh, Raipur, Chhatisgarh, India *jivtesh38@gmail.com*

[**To cite:** Badole P, Singh J, Rokkam R. Intentional self-poisoning by a bio-herbicide. *Natl Med J India* 2024;**37:**170. DOI: 10.25259/NMJI_220_2024]

Revised point system for publications by Dental Council of India

I read the 'Correspondence' titled Dental Council of India criteria for research publications: Need for revision.¹

The authors refer to the Dental Council of India (DCI) guidelines considering journals with only PubMed/Medline indexing in Category I. However, the DCI had revised its points criteria and included publications from not only journals indexed in Pubmed/Medline but also, journals indexed in Web of Science and Scopus in Category I (Table I).²

Another concern raised by the authors is regarding Category III journals, where publication in any journal is considered for 5 points raising doubts about predatory journals. The DCI has recommended considering only up to a maximum of 3 articles in Category III journals, adding a maximum possible 15 points.^{2,3}

The points required to be a head of the department, professor and a reader are 40, 30 and 20, respectively.^{2,3} By having publications in Category III journals (maximum of 3 articles and 15 points) does not make a lecturer (with Masters in Dental Surgery [MDS] degree) eligible for promotion to a reader. Moreover; a postgraduate teacher

CORRESPONDENCE

TABLE I. Revised points system for promotion by the Dental Council of India

S.No.	Category	Points
1.	 Category I: (1) Journals indexed by PubMed–Medline Please see www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed (2) Journals published by Indian/International Dental Specialty Associations approved by Dental Council of India 	15
2.	 Category II: (1) Medical/Dental journals published by government health universities awarding dental degree or government universities awarding dental degree (2) Original research/study approved by Indian Council of Medical Research/Similar government bodies (3) Author of text/reference book concerned with the respective specialty (4) PhD or any other similar additional qualification after MDS 	10
3.	 Category III: (1) Journals published by deemed universities/dental institutions/Indian Dental Association (2) Contribution of chapters in a textbook 	5
4.	 MAY BE CONSIDERED AS OPTIONAL IN CATEGORY-I Journals indexed by the following agencies can be accepted: Web of Science; https://clarivate.com/webofsciencegroup/solutions/ webofscience-platform/ SCOPUS; www.scopus.com All original research articles, systematic reviews, meta-analysis, case reports and review articles published in Web of Science, Scopus and PubMed Owner of Patent Note: For any publication, except original research, first author (principal author) will be given 100% points and remaining authors (co-authors) will be given 50% points and up to a maximum of 5 co-authors will be considered For original research, all authors will be given equal points and up to a maximum of 6 authors will be considered Maximum of 3 publications would be considered for allotting points in Category III Publication in Tabloids/Souvenirs/Dental news magazines/abstracts of conference proceedings/ letter of acceptance etc. will not be considered for allotment of points 	
	Total score required For Professor and Head of Department: 40 marks Professor: 30 marks Reader/Associate Professor: 20 marks IMPORTANT: A post-graduate teacher would be re-evaluated every three years and shall have at least an additional	5
	15 points in their score	

is also required to have an additional 15 points added to the score, which is re-evaluated every 3 years (Table I).³

The future of application of these criteria and point system is unknown after the introduction of the National Dental Commission⁴ which has come into existence and the rules and regulations for teachers may/may not be changed or modified.

Conflicts of interest. None declared

REFERENCES

- Wadgave U, Khairnar MR. Dental Council of India criteria for research publications: Need for revision. Natl Med J India 2020;33:62.
- 2 Manohar B. Revision to the point system by the Dental Council of India. J Family Med Prim Care 2021;10:2430-1
- 3 Inspection proformas. Available at https://dciindia.gov.in/InspetionProfomas. aspx (accessed on 20 Nov 2023).
- 4 The National Dental Commission Act, 2023. Available at https://egazette.gov.in/ (S(3a2imc3lfl3bsmno52gftopn))/SearchText.aspx?id=309850 (accessed on 20 Nov 2023).

Balaji Manohar Richik Chakraborty Department of Periodontology Kalinga Institute of Dental Sciences Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India *drbalajimanohar@gmail.com*

[**To cite:** Manohar B, Chakraborty R. Revised point system for publications by Dental Council of India. *Natl Med J India* 2024;**37:**170–1. DOI: 10.25259/NMJI_1173_2023.]

Publication requirement: Mind the gap

I read with interest the article by Chhavi *et al.* and find the extensive research efforts of the authors commendable¹

I would like to add a few recommendations to the excellent suggestions by the authors of creating a depository of preprints. The latest promotion criteria of the National Medical Commission (NMC) stipulate original articles, systematic reviews, meta-analyses and case-series for promotion.^{2,3} Many indexed journals have a category of Brief or Short communication, Short Paper, Brief research. Unfortunately, this category is not considered by the NMC in the publication criteria. According to guidelines for authors of journals listing this category, a brief research article is 'brief reports of original studies', 'Original research manuscripts containing well-defined study design and sample size, but limited parameters analysed', 'concise reports of preliminary findings, or epidemiological studies with narrowly focused or limited findings', 'articles with limited but original data and having the same format as Original Article'.⁴⁻⁹ So maybe the NMC can consider including this category in the eligibility for promotion.

The timeline from submission to acceptance to publication in indexed reputed journals is a long one, as stated in their submission guidelines. Sometimes authors wait for over a year for their manuscript to see the light of the day. The interval between acceptance to publication is the critical one for those eligible for promotion. Eligibility of accepted manuscripts (with concerned journal article processing criteria fulfilled), could also be a recommendation to NMC for promotion criteria.

As the authors have wisely stated the purpose of publications and research methodology workshop as promotion criteria laid down by the NMC is to increase research aptitude and knowledge and thus the quality of biomedical research from India.¹ This can be better achieved through specialized research training and workshops and faculty development programmes to blossom research aptitude. With more stringent promotion norms and limited options the only