Frontline use of bevacizumab in ovarian cancer: Experience from India

RICHA VATSA, LALIT KUMAR, SUNESH KUMAR, KALLOL KUMAR ROY, NEETA SINGH, JYOTI MEENA

ABSTRACT

Background. Ovarian cancer is the second most common gynaecological malignancy in India. Despite relatively high response rates to first-line carboplatin and paclitaxel-based chemotherapy in epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC), the majority of patients experience multiple relapses and finally become resistant. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) promotes progression of ovarian cancer. Bevacizumab, a recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody directed against VEGF-A is an anti-angiogenesis agent. Data on the use of bevacizumab for EOC from India are not available. We, therefore, studied the use of bevacizumab in ovarian cancer.

Methods. In this prospective, non-randomized study, 10 patients who received bevacizumab were compared with 20 age- and stage-matched controls. After maximal surgical debulking, patients in the bevacizumab arm received bevacizumab 15 mg/kg i.v. on day 1 every 3 weeks followed by paclitaxel and carboplatin from cycle 1. After 6 cycles, bevacizumab was continued for 1 year. Controls received paclitaxel 175 mg/m² and carboplatin only for 4–8 cycles. The outcome measures were adverse effects and progression-free survival.

Results. Haematological toxicity (i.e. neutropenia, thrombocytopenia and anaemia) was similar in both arms. Hypertension (40% v. 10%, p=0.04) and bleeding-related complications (50% v. 0%, p=0.002) were more in the bevacizumab arm. However, gastrointestinal (GI) perforations were not increased. The median progression-free survival was similar in both arms; 26 months versus 21 months (p=0.57).

Conclusion. In this small group of patients, addition of bevacizumab increased the toxicity of chemotherapy.

Natl Med J India 2018;31:15-18

INTRODUCTION

Ovarian cancer is the second most common gynaecological malignancy in India.¹ The overall 5-year survival rates are 90% for early-stage disease (The International Federation of Gynaecology and Obstetrics [FIGO] stages IA and IB) and 27% for advanced-stage epithelial ovarian cancer (FIGO stages III and IV).² Despite relatively high response rates to first-line carboplatin and paclitaxel-

LALIT KUMAR Department of Medical Oncology, Dr B.R. Ambedkar Institute Rotary Cancer Hospital

Correspondence to RICHA VATSA, c/o Vikash Kumar, Type V, Quarter No. 02, AG Colony, Shastri Nagar, Thatipur, Gwalior 474011, Madhya Pradesh; *dr.richavatsa@gmail.com*

© The National Medical Journal of India 2018

based chemotherapy, the majority of patients experience multiple relapses during the course of disease and finally become resistant to the chemotherapy.

Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) promotes progression of ovarian cancer³ and patients with epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) with high levels of VEGF have a poor outcome.⁴ Bevacizumab, a recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody, directed against VEGF-A is an anti-angiogenesis agent. This novel agent has shown efficacy both as first-line,^{5–8} and secondline treatment for refractory and recurrent EOC.^{9–12}

There are little data on the use of bevacizumab for EOC in India. We assessed the use of bevacizumab therapy in addition to standard adjuvant chemotherapy with paclitaxel and carboplatin in patients with EOC.

METHODS

The prospective, non-randomized study was done in the Departments of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, and Medical Oncology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi from May 2011 to November 2015. Patients received bevacizumab in addition to standard adjuvant chemotherapy (n=10; cases) or only standard adjuvant therapy (n=20; controls). The controls were matched for age, stage and grade with the cases. Table I lists the inclusion and exclusion criteria. All patients underwent maximal surgical debulking before chemotherapy and none of the patients were lost to follow-up.

The cases received bevacizumab 15 mg/kg i.v. on day 1 every 3 weeks followed by paclitaxel and carboplatin from cycle 1. After 6 cycles, they continued to receive bevacizumab 15 mg/kg i.v. infusion every 3 weeks for 1 year. Bevacizumab was started 6 weeks after surgery.

The controls received paclitaxel 175 mg/m^2 and carboplatin (AUC 6) on day 1 every 3 weeks from cycle 1. They received a minimum of 4 and maximum of 8 three-weekly post-surgical chemotherapy cycles until disease progression or the occurrence of unacceptable toxicity.

The primary outcome was to assess the safety profile of bevacizumab when added to carboplatin and paclitaxel chemotherapy as frontline treatment of EOC and the secondary aim was to assess the efficacy of bevacizumab as measured by progression-free survival (PFS). Toxicity was graded according to common terminology criteria for adverse events (CTCAE) version 4.0, provided by the National Institutes of Health and National Cancer Institute.

Patients were examined for toxicity before every cycle by clinical and laboratory parameters. Clinical parameters included assessment for nasal bleeding, gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding, haematuria, symptoms of GI perforation and measurement of blood pressure. Blood pressure was assessed before the start of each chemotherapy cycle, after 30 minutes of starting and at the end of bevacizumab infusion. Before each cycle of chemotherapy, investigations done for toxicity assessment were: complete blood count, liver function test, prothrombin time, activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) and urine albumin by dipstick. If the dipstick test was abnormal a 24-hour urine protein was done. These tests were done in all patients before each cycle of chemotherapy and every 3 months after completion of 6 cycles of chemotherapy.

Disease progression was assessed by the appearance of new lesions radiologically or clinically, or CA-125 criteria of disease progression. Radiologically disease progression was assessed by the response evaluation criteria in solid tumours (RECIST).

All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Ansari Nagar, New Delhi 110029, India

RICHA VATSA, SUNESH KUMAR, KALLOL KUMAR ROY, NEETA SINGH, JYOTI MEENA

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology

Computed tomography for disease progression was done at the end of cycles 3 and 6, and then every 6 months. Follow-up for PFS was done till 30 days after the last treatment cycle with bevacizumab.

Ethical clearance was obtained, and informed written consent was taken from all the patients.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics are presented as mean, standard deviation, and median and range. Frequency distribution was compared using the Pearson chi-square and Fisher's exact test as appropriate. PFS was defined as the time from the date of allotment of the treatment to the date of death from any cause or evidence of disease progression, whichever occurred first. Disease progression was defined according to the RECIST criteria.¹³ All the statistical analyses were done using statistical package SPSS-IBM version 19.0. p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The characteristics of the patients receiving bevacizumab or standard adjuvant therapy were similar (Table II) and the factors that could influence treatment outcome were also equally distributed among the two groups.

While neutropenia, thrombocytopenia and anaemia were similar in the cases and controls, proteinuria, bleeding complications, hypertension and GI perforation were more frequent in the bevacizumab group. This difference was significant in the bleedingrelated complications (mainly grade 1 mucocutaneous bleeding) and hypertension of grade 2 or more (Table III). The other adverse events related to bevacizumab, including GI perforation or fistula, and proteinuria of grade 3 and more were not significantly different in the two groups.

Of the 10 cases, 4 developed hypertension, which was grade 3 and 2 of the 20 controls developed hypertension, one had grade 2 and another grade 3. The difference was statistically significant (p=0.04).

All the bleeding episodes were grade 1. Three patients had grade 1 epistaxis, one patient had grade 1 haematuria, and one patient had grade 1 epistaxis and melaena both at the same time. All these episodes resolved on expectant management. Two of the 10 patients had increased aPTT, one was asymptomatic and another was symptomatic with grade 1 epistaxis that resolved on

Τ	`able I.	Incl	lusion	and	exc	lusion	criteria	for	pati	ient	selec	tion

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
1. Histologically confirmed and documented FIGO stage I–IIa (only if Grade 3/poorly differentiated) or stage IIb–IV (any grade) epithelial ovarian carcinoma	 Previous systemic therapy for ovarian cancer (i.e. chemotherapy, immunotherapy, hormonal, monoclonal antibody or tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy)
2. Informed written consent obtained prior to any study-specific procedure	2. Current or recent treatment (within the day 28 to day 1, cycle 1) with another investigational drug
3. Patient aged ≥ 18 years	3. Major surgical procedure within 28 days
4. Life expectancy ≥ 3 months	4. Inadequate bone marrow, liver and renal function
5. Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of 0, 1, 2	 Patient not receiving anticoagulant medication who have international normalized ratio>1.5, aPTT >1.5×upper limit of normal
6. Able to comply with the protocol	6. Uncontrolled hypertension
7. Patient should have already undergone maximal surgical debulking	7. Patient with signs and symptoms of GI obstruction
8. Eligible for carboplatin (or cisplatin) and paclitaxel chemotherapy	8. History of abdominal fistula, GI perforation within 6 months
	9. Known hypersensitivity to bevacizumab
	10. Pregnant or lactating women
	11. Evidence of inherited bleeding diathesis or coagulopathy or active gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding

I ABLE I	I. Ba	iseline	characte	eristics

Characteristic	Bevacizumab	with standard chemotherapy n (%)	Standard chemotherapy n (%)	p value
Age (years)	Mean (SD)	52.8 (8.56)	49.9 (10.56)	0.55
	Range	36–63	34–65	
Stage	Ι	2 (20)	4 (20)	0.40
-	П	0 (0)	2 (10)	
	III	8 (80)	14 (70)	
Histological type	Serous	9 (90)	18 (90)	0.68
	Mucinous	0 (0)	1 (5)	
	Endometrioid	1 (10)	0 (0)	
Grade	Well differentiated	1 (10)	2 (10)	0.49
	Moderately differentiated	0 (0)	4 (20)	
	Poorly differentiated	8 (80)	12 (60)	
	Not graded	1 (10)	2 (10)	
Performance status	0/1	9 (90)	18 (90)	0.71
	2	1 (10)	2 (10)	
Extent of debulking	Optimal residual disease <1 cm	6 (60)	12 (60)	0.65
	Suboptimal residual disease >1 cm	4 (40)	8 (40)	
Serum CA 125 (IU/ml)	Mean (range)	632.0 (8.4–1143)	783.4 (8–2495)	

TABLE III. Toxicity profile

Adverse effect	Grades	Bevacizumab with standard chemotherapy n (%)	Standard chemotherapy n (%)	p value
Neutropenia*	1-2	1 (1.7)	2 (1.6)	0.06
*	3-4	8 (13.6)	17 (14.1)	
Anaemia*	1-2	6 (10.2)	16 (13.3)	0.17
	3-4	4 (6.8)	3 (2.5)	
Thrombocytopenia*	1-2	1 (1.7)	9 (7.5)	0.48
	3-4	5 (8.5)	11 (9.2)	
Hypertension	1-2	0 (0)	1 (5)	0.04
	3-4	4 (40)	1 (5)	
Gastrointestinal perforation	on (grade 3)	1 (10)	0 (0)	0.33
Persistent proteinuria (gra	ade 3) 1	(10) 0	(0) 0.33	
Bleeding (all minor, grad	e 1)	5 (50)†	0 (0)	0.002

* in total cycles of chemotherapy † epistaxis in 4, melaena and haematuria 1 each and increased activated partial thromboplastin time in 4

expectant management. None of the patients in the standard therapy group developed bleeding-related complications. The difference between two groups was statistically significant (p=0.002).

The median PFS was similar in both groups; 26 months for the bevacizumab group and 21 months for the standard therapy group (p=0.57; Fig. 1).

In the bevacizumab group, 4 of 10 patients discontinued treatment prematurely, 2 because of disease progression after PFS of 9 and 5 months each; 1 because of development of a jejunal perforation and disease progression both after PFS of 6 months; and 1 (10%) because of development of adverse effect of persistent proteinuria of grade 3 (24 hour urinary protein of 2.5 g/day) after PFS of 8 months. All patients in the standard therapy group completed 6 cycles of chemotherapy.

DISCUSSION

Our study shows that patients who received bevacizumab had the same frequency of haematological toxicities (anaemia, thrombocytopenia and neutropenia) as the standard therapy group. Various side-effects due to the anti-VEGF effect of bevacizumab such as hypertension (40% v. 5%), bleeding-related complications (50% v. 0%) and proteinuria (10% v. 0%) were more in the

bevacizumab than in the standard therapy group. All the sideeffects were manageable except proteinuria in one patient where the drug had to be discontinued. Similarly Micha et al,⁵ reported high rates of hypertension (45% grades 1–2 and 10% grades 3–4). These side-effects were also seen in a phase 2 trial by Burger et al.,6 hypertension, grade 1 in 12.9% and grade 3 in 9.7%; proteinuria, grades 1-2 in 30.6%; and haemorrhage, grade 1 in 22.6%. A similar higher incidence of hypertension and haemorrhagic complications in patients treated with bevacizumab was seen in other larger phase 3 trials too.^{6,7} GI perforation (jejunal) occurred in 1 patient after the fifth cycle of chemotherapy, and the patient needed a resection and anastomosis of the small intestine. No further bevacizumab was given to the patient. Around 2.4% of patients with solid tumours develop GI perforation on bevacizumab treatment.14 In the ICON7 study,7 GI perforation was seen in 1.3% and 0.4% of patients in the bevacizumab and control groups, respectively. In the GOG 218,6 GI perforation was seen in 2.6%, 2.8% and 1.2% in arm III (chemotherapy+ bevacizumab followed by continuation of bevacizumab), II (chemotherapy+bevacizumab) and I (chemotherapy only), respectively.

Bleeding complications were also higher in the ICON7⁷ study; occurred in 39.6% of those who received bevacizumab compared



FIG 1. Comparison of progression-free survival in bevacizumab with standard chemotherapy, and the standard chemotherapy groups

to only 11.6% in those who did not. In GOG 218⁶ it was seen in 2.1%, 1.3% and 0.8% of arms III, II and I, respectively. The higher bleeding-related complications may be secondary to tumour necrosis and decreased renewal capacity of the endothelial cells.¹⁵

No arterial or venous thromboembolism or intracranial bleeding, known complications of bevacizumab therapy, were seen in any patient. There was no mortality due to treatment with bevacizumab treatment. Two large phase 3 trials that used bevacizumab as firstline treatment of EOC (GOG 218⁶ and ICON7⁷) had modest but statistically significant gains in PFS. A phase 3 trial by Lauraine *et al.*¹¹ in patients with recurrent EOC showed a median PFS of 3.4 months with chemotherapy alone *v*. 6.7 months with bevacizumabcontaining therapy (p<0.001). Bevacizumab is the first antiangiogenic agent which is associated with improved PFS when used in addition to standard chemotherapy regimens, both as firstline therapy and in the setting of recurrence. Our study was not randomized and included a small number of patients.

Bevacizumab therapy for EOC is an example of target-based therapy. Two major randomized trials have shown modest benefit in PFS (about 4 months) but not in overall survival with increased but manageable toxicity.^{6,7} Whether a lower dose of bevacizumab (5 mg/kg) will be equally effective or giving bevacizumab after completion of standard adjuvant chemotherapy will provide the same benefit remains to be answered.

Conclusion

Patients treated with bevacizumab had more frequent and higher grade of side-effects such as hypertension and minor bleeding complications. Our study suggests that addition of bevacizumab for treatment of EOC increases the toxicity of chemotherapy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We acknowledge the support of Roche Pharma for providing bevacizumab for the study.

Conflicts of interest: None declared

REFERENCES

- 1 Agarwal S, Malhotra KP, Sinha S, Rajaram S. Profile of gynecologic malignancies reported at a tertiary care center in India over the past decade: Comparative evaluation with international data. *Indian J Cancer* 2012;**49**:298–302.
- 2 Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2013. CA Cancer J Clin 2013;63:11–30.
- 3 Belotti D, Calcagno C, Garofalo A. Vascular endothelial growth factor stimulates organ-specific host matrix metalloproteinase-expression and ovarian cancer invasion. *Mol Cancer Res* 2008;6:525–34.
- 4 Yu L, Deng L, Li J, Zhang Y, Hu L. The prognostic value of vascular endothelial growth factor in ovarian cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gynecol Oncol* 2013;**128**:391–6.
- 5 Micha JP, Goldstein BH, Rettenmaier MA, Genesen M, Graham C, Bader K, et al. A phase II study of outpatient first-line paclitaxel, carboplatin, and bevacizumab for advanced-stage epithelial ovarian, peritoneal, and fallopian tube cancer. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2007;17:771–6.
- 6 Burger RA, Brady MF, Bookman MA, Fleming GF, Monk BJ, Huang H, et al. Gynecologic Oncologic Group Phase III trial of bevacizumab (BEV) in the primary treatment of advanced epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC), primary peritoneal cancer (PPC), and fallopian tube cancer (FTC): A Gynaecologic Oncology Group study. N Engl J Med 2011;365:2473–83.
- 7 Perren TJ, Swart AM, Pfisterer J, Ledermann JA, Lauraine EP, Kristensen G, et al. A phase 3 trial of bevacizumab in ovarian cancer. N Engl J Med 2011;365:2484–96.
- 8 Burger RA. Experience with bevacizumab in the management of epithelial ovarian cancer. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:2902–8.
- 9 Aghajanian C, Blank SV, Goff BA, Judson PL, Teneriello MG, Husain A, et al. OCEANS: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase III trial of chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab in patients with platinum-sensitive recurrent epithelial ovarian, primary peritoneal, or fallopian tube cancer. J Clin Oncol 2012;30:2039–45.
- 10 Liu Y, Ren Z, Xu S, Bai H, Ma N, Wang F. Low-dose-intensity bevacizumab with weekly irinotecan for platinum- and taxanes-resistant epithelial ovarian cancer. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2015;**75:**645–51.
- 11 Lauraine EP, Hilpert F, Weber B, Reuss A, Poveda A, Kristensen G, et al. Bevacizumab combined with chemotherapy for platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer: The AURELIA open-label randomized phase III trial. J Clin Oncol 2014;32:1302–8.
- 12 Akers SN, Riebandt G, Miller A, Groman A, Odunsi K, Lele S. Bevacizumab for the treatment of recurrent ovarian cancer: A retrospective cohort study. *Eur J Gynaecol* Oncol 2013;34:113–19.
- 13 Therasse P, Arbuck SG. New guidelines to evaluate the response to treatment in solid tumors. European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer. National Cancer Institute of the United States, National Cancer Institute of Canada. J Natl Cancer Inst 2000;92:205–16.
- 14 Avastin full prescribing information: Warnings. Available at www.gene.com/gene/ products/information/ oncology/avastin/insert.jsp#warnings (accessed on 10 Jan 2017).
- 15 Gordon MS, Cunningham D. Managing patients treated with bevacizumab combination therapy. *Oncology* 2005;69 (Suppl 3):25–33.